

Regulation of Nanomaterials Used in Food Contact Materials & Articles

10 April 2018

Rachida Semail, Partner

Keller and Heckman LLP
Brussels, Belgium Office
+32 (0) 2 645 5094
semail@khlaw.com











Presentation Overview



 How does the existing legislation regulate nanomaterials intended for use in food contact materials and articles?

What are the challenges of industry?

Current EU Legislation



EU Horizontal Regulations

- Framework Food Contact Regulation (1935/2004)
 - Safety and inertness requirements
- GMP requirements (2023/2006)

EU Specific Regulations Containing Provisions on Nanomaterials (NM)

- Plastics Regulation (10/2011)
- Active and Intelligent Packaging Regulation (450/2009)

Specific Provisions on NMs in the Plastics Regulation



- Positive list system for monomers and additives used in plastics manufacture
- Substances in nanoform are currently permitted only if they have been risk-assessed as nano substances and cleared for use in FC plastics
- Nano substances (monomers and additives) do not benefit from any exemption under the functional barrier concept
- NO DEFINITION in the Plastics Regulation

EC Guidelines on Plastics



EC Recommended definition referred to in:

- Union Guidance on Plastics Regulation
 - https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/cs_fcm_p lastic-guidance 201110 en.pdf
- Union Guidance on Declaration of Compliance

https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/cs_fcm_plastic-guidance_201110_reg_en.pdf

Plastics Regulation: Examples of Substances in Nano Form



FCM	CASRN	Substance name
87	_	Silicon dioxide, silanated
410	1332-58-7	Kaolin
411	1333-86-4	Carbon black
504	7631-86-9	Silicon dioxide
807	_	Titanium nitride, nanoparticles
1046	_	Zinc oxide, nanoparticles, coated with [3-(methacryloxy)propyl]
		trimethoxysilane (FCM No 788)
1050	_	Zinc oxide, nanoparticles, uncoated
1050	_	Zinc oxide, nanoparticles, for use as a transparent ultraviolet light
		absorber in unplasticized polymers at up to 2% by weight

Plastics Regulation: Examples of Substances in Nano Form



FCM	Substance name	Restrictions and specifications
87	Silicon dioxide, silanated	For synthetic amorphous silicon dioxide, silanated: primary particles of 1–100 nm which are aggregated to a size of 0.1–1 μ m and may form agglomerates within the size distribution of 0.3 μ m to the mm size.
807	Titanium nitride, nanoparticles	No migration of titanium nitride nanoparticles Only to be used in polyethylene terephthalate (PET) up to 20 mg/kg. In the PET, the agglomerates have a diameter of 100-500 nm consisting of primary titanium nitride nanoparticles; primary particles have a diameter of approximately 20 nm.

EC Guidelines on Plastics



- Definition in the two guidelines in contradiction with Recital 23 of Plastics Regulation which is worded so as to be understood as referring to substances engineered in nano form
- Questioned about this, the EC orally responded that « the EC services interpret the guidelines so as to be compliant with the intent of the Plastics Regulation »
 - Written nowhere
- No legal clarity and certainty

Specific Provisions on NMs in Active & Intelligent Packaging Regulation



- Positive listing system for substances responsible for the active/intelligent function
- Similar requirements to those in Plastics Regulation, i.e.,
 - No exemption possible based on functional barrier for substances in nano form
- No definition of substance in nano form
 - Just a reference to substances deliberately engineered to particle size

National Legislation on NM in FCMs



Dutch Regulation on Packaging & Utensils:

- Positive list system
- No definition of substances in nano form
- Listed substances may be used in nano form even though the listing does not identify them as such
 - Chapter 0: Permitted substances consisting (partly) of nano particles may be used provided that the final product still complies with Article 3 (safety & inertness requirements) of the Framework Regulation
- Non-listed substances cannot avail of the exemption of positive listing if they are in nano form (when notably migration is < 0.01 mg/kg)

National Legislation on NM in FCMS



Belgian coatings Order:

- No positive listing requirement
- Compositional criteria but exemption in place
- No exemption for substances in nano form



- No specific regulatory definition on substances in nano form under the FC legislation
 - => No legal clarity and no legal certainty as to what should be petitioned or not
- Operators do not exactly know on what basis they should make their own determination as to whether a substance is nano or not
- Member States may take a different interpretation of what should be nano



- Per the EC recommended definition, a material is a NM when for at least 50% of the particles in the number size distribution, one or more external dimensions falls in the 1 100 nm range
- However, in some cases, this 50% threshold may be replaced by a threshold between 1% and 50%
- Possible consequences:
 - Different authorities may use different thresholds
 => Again, this results in legal uncertainty



- EC is not considering taking any action with respect to FCMs to clarify the regulatory framework
- Operators are forced to submit petitions when the requirements of the recommended definition are met,
 - even though they would have arguments demonstrating that the definition is not appropriate
 - or even though there would be scientific grounds/evidence showing that nanomaterials would not migrate into food



- The EC guidelines refer to the recommended definition of NM, which also covers substances naturally occurring in nano form
- Some natural materials have a plate-like structure solely with a thickness falling in the 1-100 nm range.
 - i.e., they only exist in nano form
- These substances might have been used for several decades and are also included in the EU positive list of the Plastics Regulation, without being identified as nano



- Based on recital 23 of the Plastics Regulation, these substances are allowed as the Plastics Regulation only aims at specifically regulating substances engineered in nano form
- But this seems contradicted by the EC guidelines which considers as nano also substances naturally occurring in nano form

=> NO LEGAL CLARITY AND CERTAINTY



- EFSA is setting more stringent requirements on nano than on non-nano particles
 - E.g., EFSA takes into account factors that are not considered (yet?) for non-nano particles, such as migration by abrasion effect, while this is not discussed in the Note for Guidance.

- EFSA retains a case-by-case approach and thus its requirements vary from a petition to another
 - this means that it is very difficult for industry to predict what data EFSA wants to see in support of the petition
 - Remember that a pre-discussion with EFSA's experts is not possible



"The specific properties of nanomaterials may affect their toxicokinetic and toxicology profiles, but limited information is available in relation to these aspects. There are also uncertainties stemming from the difficulty of characterizing, detecting and measuring nanomaterials in food and in biological matrices, and from the limited availability of toxicity data and test methods. For these reasons, nanomaterials should be evaluated 'case-by-case'."











THANK YOU

Rachida Semail, Partner

Keller and Heckman LLP
Brussels, Belgium Office
+32 (0) 2 645 5094
semail@khlaw.com